

BS5837:2012

Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations

Land at Wrexham Road, Abermorddu, Flintshire.

Author: Alan Thompson FdSc (Arb), M Arbor A



Ian Stevens Fisher German LLP The Clarke Estate c/o Edward Clarke 4 Vicars Lane Chester Cheshire CH1 1QU

26/07/16

Dear lan

BS5837 Tree Survey – Land at Wrexham Road, Abermorddu, Flintshire.

Fisher German LLP appointed Arbtech Consulting Ltd. in July 2016 to undertake a BS5837 Tree Survey and Tree Constraints Plan at the aforementioned site. Our arboricultural consultant, Mr. Alan Thompson undertook the survey on the 20th July 2016; weather conditions were hot, dry & bright. Subsequently we have produced this summary of our findings. Mr. Alan Thompson FdSc has over 7 years experience in both local authority and private practice environments.

Tree Survey Executive Summary

All trees within the property have been surveyed using techniques demanded by BS:5837 2012, Trees in Relation to Construction.

A total of 44 individual trees, four grouped areas of trees and two hedgerows were surveyed. In general the tree stock on site is young to mature in age range.

Management recommendations have been made below where significant defects are suspected to be present.

All of the trees surveyed were in an acceptable or good condition at the time of the survey. No trees were deemed to be in a hazardous or unstable condition.

Individual notes on the tree's structural and physiological condition are found in the Notes section of the survey schedule.



The following content is for educational and informative purposes; so parts of it are reproduced with the kind permission of BSI Global.

BS5837 Scope

This standard recognizes that there can be problems of development close to existing trees which are to be retained, and of planting trees close to existing structures. This standard sets out to assist those concerned with trees in relation to construction to form balanced judgements. It does not set out to put arguments for or against development, or for the removal or retention of trees. Where development, including demolition, is to occur, the standard provides guidance on how to decide which trees are appropriate for retention, on the means of protecting these trees during development, including demolition and construction work, and on the means of incorporating trees into the developed landscape.

Definitions

Arboriculturist

An arboriculturist (or arboricultural consultant) is a person who has, through relevant education, training and experience, gained recognized qualifications and expertise in the field of trees in relation to construction.

Tree Survey

A tree survey should be undertaken by an arboriculturist and should record information about the trees on a site independently of and prior to any specific design for development. As a subsequent task, and with reference to a design or potential design, the results of the survey should be included in the preparation of a tree constraints plan, which should be used to assist with site layout design.

Tree Constraints Plan

A TCP is plan, typically delivered as an AutoCAD drawing (.dwg file format), prepared by an arboriculturist for the purposes of layout design showing the root protection area and representing the effect that the mature height and spread of retained trees will have on layouts through shade, dominance, etc.

Root Protection Area

An RPA is a layout design tool indicating the area surrounding a tree that contains sufficient rooting volume to ensure the survival of the tree, shown in plan form in m².

Construction Exclusion Zone (also termed Tree Protection Zone)

A construction exclusion or tree protection zone is an area based on the RPA (in m²), identified by an arboriculturist, to be protected during development, including demolition and construction work, by the use of barriers and/or ground protection fit for purpose to ensure the successful long-term retention of a tree.

Tree Protection Plan

A TPP is plan, typically delivered as an AutoCAD drawing (.dwg file format), prepared by an arboriculturist showing the finalized layout proposals, tree retention and tree and landscape protection measures detailed within the arboricultural method statement, which can be shown graphically.

Arboricultural Impact Assessment

This is a study, undertaken by an arboriculturist, to identify, evaluate and possibly mitigate the extent of direct and indirect impacts on existing trees that may arise as a result of the implementation of any site layout proposal.

Arboricultural Method Statement

This is a methodology for the implementation of any aspect of development that has the potential to result in loss of or damage to a tree. The AMS is likely to include details of an on-site tree protection monitoring regime.

Methodology

The methodology used to assess the trees was the British Standard 5837:2012 'Trees in Relation to Construction' tree survey method. The aim of the survey is to establish which trees are moderate and good quality; suitable for retention and justifying protection, and, which trees are low or poor quality; either undesirable or unsuitable to retain and protect.

The tree survey includes all trees included in the land survey red line boundary plan, as well as any that may have been missed, and it should categorize trees or groups of trees, including woodlands for their quality and value within the existing context, in a transparent, understandable and systematic way. Where the arboriculturist has deemed it appropriate, the trees have been tagged with small metal or plastic tags, placed as high as is convenient on the stem of each tree.

Whilst masterplan proposals for the proposed development of the site might be available, the trees have been surveyed without taking these into consideration. All detailed design work on site layout should take into consideration the results of the tree survey (and the TCP).

Trees forming groups and areas of woodland (including orchards, wood pasture and historic parkland) are identified and considered as groups where the arboriculturist has determined that this is appropriate, particularly where they contain a variety of species and age classes that could aid long-term management. It is often expedient to assess the quality and value of such groups of trees as a whole, rather than as individuals. However, an assessment of individuals within any group has been undertaken if they are open-grown or if there is a need to differentiate between them.

The quality and value of each tree or group of trees has been recorded by allocating it to one of the four categories; A, B, C, or U (highest to lowest quality respectively). The categories are differentiated on the tree survey plan by colour, or by suffixing the category adjacent to the tree identification number on the TCP.

The survey schedule lists all the trees or groups of trees. The following information is also provided:

- I. reference number (to be recorded on the tree survey plan);
- II. species (common or scientific names);
- III. height in metres;
- IV. stem diameter in millimetres at 1.5 m above adjacent ground level or immediately above the root flare for multi-stemmed trees;
- V. branch spread in metres taken at the four cardinal compass points;
- VI. height in metres of crown clearance above adjacent ground level;
- VII. age class (young, middle aged, mature, over-mature, veteran);
- VIII. physiological condition (e.g. good, fair, poor, dead);
 - IX. structural condition, e.g. collapsing, the presence of any decay and physical defect;
 - X. preliminary management recommendations, including further investigation of suspected defects that require more detailed assessment and potential for wildlife habitat; and
 - XI. category grading to be recorded in plan on the tree survey plan.



Limitations

Trees were inspected from using visual observation from ground level only. Trees were not climbed or inspected below ground level. Inaccessible trees will have best estimates made about the location, physical dimensions and characteristics. Trees have been grouped where BS5837 guides us that it is expedient to do so. Trees have been excluded from the survey if they are found by us to be sufficiently far away from the proposed developable area or if they are outside of the red line boundary plan showing the expectations of our Client for the extent of the survey. BS5837 does not draw any distinction between trees subject to statutory protection, such as a Tree Preservation Order ("TPO"), and those trees without. This is principally because a detailed planning consent overrides any TPO protection. Consequently, we do not seek to offer any comparison between or infer any difference in the quality or importance of TPO trees and other trees.

Appendices

The following documents were released to the Client as appendices to this report:

- Survey Schedule (PDF)
- Tree Constraints Plan drawing (PDF)

If you require clarification of information contained herein, please do not hesitate to contact me on 07703 676 216

Yours Sincerely,

A.S.Thom

Alan Thompson FdSc (Arb.), M Arbor A

Arboricultural Consultant

Client: Fisher G	ermar	ו LLP						BS5	837:20	12 Tree	Survey			Arbtech Consulting Ltd	•
Project: Land at Survey Date: 20/07/20 Surveyor: Alan Tho	Wrexh 016 ompsc	ham Road,	, Aberi	morddu	ı, Flintsh	nire.			ARE Consult	BTEC	ted			Unit 3 Well House Barns Chester Road Chester CH4 0DH Phone: 01244 66 11 70	
Tree and Tag No		Uabt	S	Stems		Crow	n		RP	Dhua	Characteria			Preliminary Recommendations	Cat
Species		(m)	No	Ø (mm	Spre) (m	ad)	Clear (m)	Age	A (m²) R (m)	Condition	Conditio	on		Survey Comment	ERC
G1			1			<u> </u>				1				Estimated M	leasurements
A Group		4	1	130	Ν	2.5	0.5	Y	A: 7.6	Good	C: Good				C.1.2
					E S W	2.5 2.5 2.5	0.5 0.5 0.5		R: 1.55		S: Good B: Good	-	Linear bound cherry, Rowa estimated ave	lary screening group is comprised of Hazel, Wild an, Ash and Elder. Measurements given are erages for the group.	>40 yrs
G2														Estimated M	leasurements
A Group		7.5	10	221 ((Eq) N	5	1	М	A: 22.2	Good	C: Good				B.1.2.3
					E S W	5 5 5	1 1 1		R: 2.65		S: Good B: Good	-	Group is com coppices, inte Elder. Measu group.	pprised of approximately 25 mature Hazel ersperced with occasional Hawthorn, Holly and rements given are estimated averages for the	>40 yrs
G3														Estimated M	leasurements
A Group		10	1	400	Ν	4.5	3	М	A: 72.4	Good	C: Good				B.2
					E S W	4.5 4.5 4.5	3 3 3		R: 4.8		S: Good B: Good	-	Group is com Understory is Measurement	prised of 12 Alder and Sycamore trees. s comprised of Holly, Hawthorn, Hazel and Elder. ts given are estimated averages for the group.	>40 yrs
G4														Estimated M	leasurements
A Group		6	6	171 ((Eq) N	4	0.5	SM	A: 13.3	Good	C: Good				B.2
					E S W	4 4 4	0.5 0.5 0.5		R: 2.05		S: Good B: Good	-	Large group i intersperced Measurement	is comprised of Goat willow and Hazel with Hawthorn, Elder and Occasional Silver birch. ts given are estimated averages for the group.	>40 yrs
Age Classifications:	N Y SM	Newly plan Young Semi-matu	ited ire	EM Ea M Ma OM Ov	arly Mature ature ver Mature	e		Condit	tion: C S B	Crown Stem Basal are	a	Sten	ns: Ø (Eq)	Diameter Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 d	efinition
Page 1									Treel	Minder				·	25 July 2016

Tree and Tag No				Stem	s		Crowr	า		RP			<u>.</u>				Preliminary Recommendations	<u> </u>
Species		Hght (m)	No		Ø	Sprea	ad	Clear	Age	A (m ²) R (m)) P Con	nys dition	Conditi	rai on			Survey Comment	ERC
114					mm)	(m)		(m)		K (III)								
Hl																	Estimated Me	easurements
A Hedgerow		2	1	8)	Ν	0.7	0.2	М	A: 2.9	G	iood	C: Good	_				C.1.2
- Unknown						E	0.7	0.2		R: 0.96			S: Good		Fragr	nented,	partially lapsed Hawthorn hedgerow.	20 to 40
						S	0.7	0.2					B: Good		Meas	uremen	ts given are estimated averages for the group.	yrs
						W	0.7	0.2										
H2																	Estimated Me	easurements
A Hedgerow		2	1	8	D	Ν	0.7	0.1	М	A: 2.9	G	iood	C: Good					C.1.2
- Unknown						Е	0.7	0.1		R: 0.96			S: Good	-	W.all	maintair	nod bodgorow. Drodominantly Hazol internetrood	>40 vrs
						S	0.7	0.1					B: Good		with	Hawtho	rn and Holly Measurements given are estimated	× 10 y15
						W	0.7	0.1							avera	iges for	the group.	
T1																		
Goat Willow		5	6	17	'1 (Ea) N	3	0.5		A: 13.3	G	iood	C: Good					C.1
Salix caprea						E	3	0.5		R: 2.05			S: Good	-		- 6 11		S40 vrc
,						S	3	0.5					B: Good		Tree	of limite	ed amenity value.	240 yis
						W	3	0.5										
T2																		
Goat Willow		4.5	7	13	2 (Ea) N	2	0.5	Y	A: 7.9	G	iood	C: Good					C.1
Salix caprea						E	2	0.5		R: 1.58			S: Good	-	–	c II		>10 vrc
						S	2	0.5					B: Good		Iree	of limite	ed amenity value.	>40 yis
						W	2	0.5										
Т3																		
Common Alder		85	З	38	6 (Fa) N	З	2	SM	۵. 62 4	G	bood	C' Good					B 1
Alnus alutinosa		0.5	5	50	0 (=9,	, F	4	3	511	R: 4.63		1000	S: Good	-				. 10
, mae graaneea						S	3	2					B: Good					>40 yrs
						W	4	2										
Та																		
Common Alder		7	r	16	3 (Fa	N NI	Л	1 5	v	۸. 17	C	ood	C. Enir					C 1
		/	Z	10	5 (LY	, N F	7 2	1.5	I	A. 12 R· 1 95	G	1000	S' Good	-				0.1
Allius gluullosa						S	1	5		K. 1.95			B' Good		Tree'	s crown	is suppressed to the south by T3.	>40 yrs
						w	3	15					D. 0000					
							5	1.5										
Age Classifications:	Ν	Newly plant	ed	EM	Early	Mature		C	condit	tion:	C Cr	rown		Sten	ns:	Ø	Diameter	
	Y	Young		М	Matur	е					S St	tem				(Eq)) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 de	finition
	SM	Semi-matur	е	OM	Over	vlature					в Ва	asal area						
Dere 0										Т	aNlinda							F 1.1. 0040

Tree and Tag No				Stem	s	(Crow	n		RP		Dhara	C1				Preliminary Recommendations	0-1
Species		Hght (m)	No	, ,	Ø mm)	Sprea (m)	ad	Clear (m)	Age	A (m R (m	²⁾) C	Condition	Conditi	rai on			Survey Comment	ERC
T5					,	()	· .	()			-							
Common Oak		3	1	9	0	N	05	1	Y	A·37		Fair	C' Fair					C.1
Ouercus robur		5	-	5	•	E	1.5	1	•	R: 1.08	8	i un	S: Good			<i>c</i> 11 11	· · · · · · · · · · ·	> 10 yrc
						S	2	1					B: Good		T3	of limite	ed amenity value. Tree's crown is suppressed by	210 yis
						W	1.5	1							151			
Т6																		
Common Alder		3	6	4	9 (Eq)	Ν	1.5	0.5	Y	A: 1.1		Good	C: Good					C.1
Alnus glutinosa						Е	1.5	0.5		R: 0.59	9		S: Good		Troo	of limite	ad amenity value	>40 vrs
						S	1.5	0.5					B: Good		nee		eu amenity value.	10 /10
						W	1.5	0.5										
Т7																		
Common Ash		6	1	24	10	Ν	3.5	2	Y	A: 26.1	1	Good	C: Good					C.1
Fraxinus excelsior						Е	3.5	2		R: 2.88	8		S: Fair		Tree	of limite	ed amenity value. Tree's stem has grown through	>40 vrs
						S	3.5	2					B: Good		and i	ncluded	adjacent chainlink fencing.	- , -
						W	3.5	2										
Т8																		
Common Ash		10	1	25	50	Ν	3	2	Y	A: 28.3	3	Good	C: Good					C.1
Fraxinus excelsior						Е	3	2		R: 3			S: Good		Tree	of limite	ed amenity value.	>40 yrs
						S	3	2					B: Good					
						W	1	4										
Т9																		
Common Ash		8.5	1	24	łO	Ν	3	2	Y	A: 26.1	1	Good	C: Good					C.1
Fraxinus excelsior						Е	1	4		R: 2.88	8		S: Good		Tree	of limite	ed amenity.	>40 yrs
						S	3	2					B: Good					
						W	3	2										
T10																		
Lombardy Poplar		22	1	55	50	Ν	3	3	Μ	A: 136	.9	Good	C: Good					B.1
Populus nigra 'Italica'						Е	3	3		R: 6.6			S: Good		Offsit	e tree o	on neighbouring land could not be fully inspected	>40 yrs
						S	3	3					B: Good		and t	he stem	n diameter measurement given is an estimate.	
						W	3	3										
Age Classifications:	Ν	Newly plant	ed	EM	Early I	Mature		C	ondi	tion:	С	Crown		Ster	ms:	Ø	Diameter	
	Y	Young		M	Mature	2					S	Stem				(Eq)) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 de	efinition
	5M	Semi-matur	e	OM	Over	lature				-	в	Basal area	1					

Tree and Tag No		Uabt	S	tems	;	(Crow	n		RP		Dhura	Church				Preliminary Recommendations	Cat
Species		(m)	No	(17	Ø mm)	Sprea	ad	Clear	Ag	e A (m ² R (m)	²⁾ Co	ondition	Conditi	ion			Survey Comment	ERC
T11				1 (11	<i>)</i>	(111)		(111)		,							- Ectimated M	escuremento
Hybrid Black Doplar		20	1	800	h	N	10	5	м	A+ 280	6	Fair	C: Good				LStillated Pr	
Populus x canadensis		20	1	000	,	E	8	5	1.1	R: 9.6	.0	1 dii	S: Fair					20 to 40
						S	6	5					B: Fair		Previou with de	is majo ecav in	tree's stem (east) running from base to 2.5m.	20 10 40 yrs
						W	7	5							Tree's	conditi	on should be monitored on a biannual basis.	
															Offsite and the	tree oi e stem	n neighbouring land could not be fully inspected diameter measurement given is an estimate.	
T12																	······································	
Western Balsam Poplar		20	1	600)	N	9	3	М	A: 162.	.9	Good	C: Good					B.1
Populus trichocarpa			_		-	Е	8	3		R: 7.2	-		S: Good		Offcito	tree ou	n peighbouring land could not be fully inspected	>40 vrs
						S	7	3					B: Good		and the	e stem	diameter measurement given is an estimate.	× 10 y13
						W	8	3									-	
T13																		
Common Ash		14	1	580)	Ν	7	3	М	A: 152.	.2	Good	C: Good					B.1
Fraxinus excelsior						Е	7	4		R: 6.96	5		S: Good		Natura	llv occi	urring minor deadwood observed in tree's lower	>40 yrs
						S	7	3					B: Good		crown.	ily occi		
						W	7	3										
T14																		
Common Oak		12.5	1	630)	Ν	7.5	3	SM	A: 179.	.6	Good	C: Good					B.1
Quercus robur						E	7.5	3		R: 7.56	5		S: Good					>40 yrs
						S	7.5	3					B: Good					
						vv	7.5	3										
T15																		
Common Ash		22	1	890)	N	9.5	3	М	A: 358.	.4	Fair	C: Good					A.3
Fraxinus excelsior						E	9	3		R: 10.6	8		S: Ivy		Vetera	n tree.	Slight apical die back observed in tree's crown.	20 to 40
						5 W	9 85	3					B: Fair		Thick in	vy is sp	preading throughout tree's stem into crown.	yrs
						vv	0.5	-							livesto	r or sur ck.		
Age Classifications:	N I	Newly plante	ed	EM	Early N	lature			Cond	ition:	C (Crown		Sten	ms:	Ø	Diameter	e
	Y SM (Young Semi-moture	0	M	Mature	aturo					S S	Stem Basal arou	-			(Eq)	Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 de	etinition
		Ceni-matur	6			ature				-			4					

Tree and Tag No			S	tems		С	rown	1		RP		<u> </u>		Preliminary Peronmendations	<u>.</u> .
Species	Hg (m	ht 1)	No	ø	S	pread	I (Clear	Age	A (m ²)	Phys Conditio	Structur Conditio	ral on	Survey Comment	Cat ERC
	,	-7		(mn	n)	(m)		(m)		K (M)					
T16 Common Ash	2	2	1	1100	I	N	11	3.5	М	A: 547.5	Good	C: Good			A.1
Fraxinus excelsior					: V	= 1: S V 10	1.5 10 0.5	3.5 3.5 3.5		R: 13.2		S: Good B: Good		Boundary tree could not be fully inspected and the stem diameter measurement given is an estimate. Inonotus hispidus fruiting body observed in major limb in tree's crown (south) at 7m.	>40 yrs
T17															
Common Ash	2	0	1	950	I	N	10	4	М	A: 408.3	Fair	C: Good			A.3
Fraxinus excelsior					l S	E S (N	10 6.6 9	3 6 3		R: 11.4		S: Poor B: Fair		Tree's stem has previously failed at point of tight stem union due to included bark. Resulted in major tear wound with major decay running from base to 3.7m. Tree is laying down good amount of reactionary cambium growth around tear wound. Tree's condition should be monitored on a biannual	>40 yrs
T18															
Sycamore <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>	1	5	2	648	(Eq) ! !	N S E Z S Z N Z	8.5 7.5 7.5 7.5	4 5 5 4	SM	A: 190.1 R: 7.77	Good	C: Good S: Good B: Fair		Tight stem union observed at tree's base.	B.1 >40 yrs
T19															
Sycamore <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>	14	l.5	1	450	 !	N E S W	7 7 7 7	5 4 5 4	SM	A: 91.6 R: 5.39	Good	C: Good S: Good B: Good			B.1 >40 yrs
T20															
Common Ash Fraxinus excelsior	1	2	4	440	(Eq) ! !	N E S W	6 5 5 5	5 4 5 4	SM	A: 87.6 R: 5.28	Good	C: Good S: Good B: Good			B.1 >40 yrs
														~ Di t	
Age Classifications:	M Newly p Y Young M Semi-m	nature	ea e	EM E M N OM O	ariy Ma lature)ver Ma	ature		C	ondit	sion: C S B	Stem Basal ar	ea	Ster	ems: Ø Diameter (Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 defin	ition

Tree and Tag No				Stem	IS		Crow	n		F	RP	Disco	<u></u>			Preliminary Recommendations	6 -1
Species		Hght (m)	No) (Ø (mm)	Sprea (m)	hd	Clear (m)	Age	e A R	(m²) (m)	Phys Condition	Conditi	on		Survey Comment	ERC
T21																	
Sycamore		15.5	1	48	30	N	6	4	SM	A: 1	104.2	Good	C: Good				B.1
Acer pseudoplatanus						E	5.5	4		R: 5	5.75		S: Good	-			>10 vrc
						S	5.5	4					B: Good				270 yis
						W	4	8									
T22																	
Sycamore		15	1	57	70	Ν	6	4	SM	A: 1	147	Good	C: Good				B.1
Acer pseudoplatanus						Е	4	8		R: 6	5.84		S: Good	-			>40 vrs
						S	6	4					B: Good				10 110
						W	6	5									
T23																	
Common Alder		12.5	2	41	11 (Eq)	Ν	5.5	4	М	A: 7	76.5	Good	C: Good				B.1
Alnus glutinosa						Е	5.5	4		R: 4	1.93		S: Good	-			20 to 40
						S	5	4					B: Good				yrs
						W	4	4									
T24																	
Common Alder		12	1	43	30	Ν	3.5	2	М	A: 8	33.7	Poor	C: Fair				C.1
Alnus glutinosa						Е	8	2		R: 5	5.16		S: Fair	-	Tree has narti	ially collapsed and has come to rest on T23. Tree	10 to 20
						S	3.5	2					B: Poor		is recommend	led for removal.	yrs
						W	1	10									
T25																	
Common Ash		13	1	26	50	Ν	4.5	8	Y	A: 3	30.6	Good	C: Good				B.1
Fraxinus excelsior						Е	4.5	7		R: 3	3.12		S: Good	-			>40 yrs
						S	4.5	8					B: Good				,
						W	4.5	8									
T26																	
Common Alder		13	1	24	40	Ν	4	5	SM	A: 2	26.1	Good	C: Good				C.1
Alnus glutinosa						Е	4	4		R: 2	2.88		S: Good	-	Tree of limited	d amenity value	20 to 40
						S	4	5					B: Good				yrs
						W	4	5									
	NI	Naudersta	- 4	E 14	F eature	Actor						0		01	~	Diamatan	
Age Classifications:	N	Newly plant	ea		Early I Moture	viature		C	ond	ition:	C e	Stern		Stem	ns: Ø	Diditieter	finition
	SM	Semi-matur	e	OM	Over N	Mature					B	Basal area	а		(⊏q)	Equivalent stem than eter using D33637.2012 the	
Dage 6											TrooM	lindor				2	E July 2016

Tree and Tag No				Stem	s		Crow	n		RP	Disco	Ch				Preliminary Recommendations	0-t
Species		Hght (m)	No) (I	Ø mm)	Sprea (m)	ad)	Clear (m)	Age	A (m²) R (m)	Condition	Conditi	rai on			Survey Comment	ERC
T27																	
Common Oak		21	1	11:	10	Ν	12	2	М	A: 557.5	Good	C: Good					A.1
Quercus robur						Е	10	5		R: 13.32		S: Good		Noturs		urring (chaded out) deadwood observed in tree's	>40 vrs
						S	12.5	7				B: Good		crown	iny occu		10 110
						W	14	2									
T28																	
Sycamore		16	1	84	0	Ν	7	4	М	A: 319.2	Good	C: Good					B.1
Acer pseudoplatanus						Е	9	2		R: 10.07		S: Good					>40 vrs
						S	9	2				B: Good					
						W	9.5	2									
T29																	
Sycamore		14.5	2	60	1 (Eq)	Ν	8	3	М	A: 163.7	Good	C: Good					B.1
Acer pseudoplatanus						Е	8	3		R: 7.21		S: Good		Thick	lvv is sr	preading throughout tree's stem into crown	>40 vrs
						S	5	8				B: Good		THICK .	r v v 15 5 F		- / -
						W	8	3									
Т30																	
Common Ash		5.5	4	15	4 (Eq)	Ν	2.5	1.5	Y	A: 10.7	Good	C: Good					C.1
Fraxinus excelsior						Е	2.5	1.5		R: 1.84		S: Good		Tree o	f limite	d amenity value	>40 yrs
						S	2.5	1.5				B: Good		ince o			
						W	2.5	1.5									
T31																	
Sycamore		19	1	88	0	Ν	10	3	М	A: 350.4	Good	C: Good					B.1
Acer pseudoplatanus						Е	10	3		R: 10.56		S: Good					>40 yrs
						S	10	3				B: Good					
						W	10	2									
T32																	
Sycamore		11	2	60	1 (Eq)	Ν	7.5	4	SM	A: 163.5	Good	C: Good					B.1
Acer pseudoplatanus						Е	7	4		R: 7.21		S: Good		Thick I	[vy is sp	preading throughout tree's stem into crown.	>40 yrs
						S	7	4				B: Good			, ,		
						vv	6	4									
Age Classifications:	N	Newly plant	ed	FM	Farly	Mature			`ondi	tion: C	Crowp		Stor	ne	Ø	Diameter	
Age olassifications.	Y	Youna	u	M	Mature	e		,	Jonun	Sin C	Stem		oter		(Ea)	Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 de	inition
S	SM S	Semi-matur	е	OM	Over N	Mature				В	Basal are	а			(,	
Dese 7										Tree	lindor						. July 0010

Tree and Tag No				Stem	s		Crow	n		RP	•	Disco	<u></u>				Preliminary Recommendations	0-1
Species		Hght (m)	No	, (I	Ø mm)	Sprea (m)	ad)	Clear (m)	Age	A (m R (m	12) 1)	Phys Condition	Conditio	rai on			Survey Comment	ERC
Т33																		
Sycamore		15.5	1	49	0	Ν	6	3	SM	A: 108	8.6	Good	C: Good					B.1
Acer pseudoplatanus						Е	6.5	4		R: 5.8	37		S: Good					>40 vrs
						S	5	3					B: Good					10 110
						W	6.5	2										
T34																		
Common Oak		14	1	57	0	Ν	7	4	SM	A: 14	7	Good	C: Good					B.1
Quercus robur						Е	8	4		R: 6.8	34		S: Good					>40 vrs
						S	7	4					B: Good					- , -
						W	7.5	4										
T35																		
Sycamore		13.5	1	59	0	Ν	7	2	М	A: 15	7.5	Good	C: Good					B.1
Acer pseudoplatanus						Е	7	2		R: 7.0	8		S: Good					>40 yrs
						S	7	2					B: Good					,
						W	6.5	4										
Т36																		
Common Ash		20	1	114	40	Ν	11	4	Μ	A: 588	8	Good	C: Good					A.1
Fraxinus excelsior						Е	12	1		R: 13.	.68		S: Good					>40 yrs
						S	12	3					B: Good					
						W	12	3										
Т37																		
Common Alder		5.5	2	21	.0 (Eq)	N	2.5	1.5	Y	A: 20		Good	C: Good					C.1
Alnus glutinosa						Е	2.5	1.5		R: 2.5	52		S: Good		Tree o	f limite	d amenity value.	>40 yrs
						S	2.5	1.5					B: Good					
						W	2.5	1.5										
Т38																		
Common Alder		15.5	2	58	7 (Eq)	N	5	7	М	A: 15	5.9	Good	C: Good					B.1
Alnus glutinosa						Е	6.5	3		R: 7.0)4		S: Good		Include	ed bark	observed at tree's base at point of tight stem	20 to 40
						S	7	2					B: Fair		union.			yrs
						W	6.5	3										
		المساير مامير			Feel er	Mot				41	<u> </u>	Crown		04-		~	Diameter	
Age Classifications:	v v	iewiy plante oung	eu		Matur			C	ona	uon:	S	Stem		Ster	ms:	(Ea)	Equivalent stem diameter using RS5837:2012	efinition
S	SM S	Semi-mature	е	OM	Over I	Mature					В	Basal area	1			(ĽЧ)	Equivalent stem diameter daing D0007.2012 (
Dana 0										т.		ndor						05 1010 0040

Tree and Tag No		11-64		Stem	IS		Crow	n		RP	Divers	Character			Preliminary Recommendations	0-1
Species		Hght (m)	No) (Ø (mm)	Sprea (m)	ad)	Clear (m)	Age	A (m²) R (m)	Condition	Conditio	on		Survey Comment	ERC
Т39																
Common Alder		15	1	45	50	Ν	5	4	М	A: 91.6	Good	C: Good				B.1
Alnus glutinosa						Е	6	3		R: 5.39		S: Good	-			>40 vrs
						S	6	2				B: Good				× 10 y13
						W	5	4								
T40																
Common Hawthorn		4	3	8	8 (Eq)	N	2.5	1.5	Y	A: 3.5	Good	C: Good				C.1
Crataegus monogyna						Е	2.5	1.5		R: 1.05		S: Good	-	Troo of limitor	d amonity value	>40 vrs
						S	2.5	1.5				B: Good				10 10
						W	2.5	1.5								
T41																
Goat Willow		4.5	7	15	59 (Eq)	N	3.5	1	Y	A: 11.4	Good	C: Good				C.1
Salix caprea						Е	3.5	1		R: 1.9		S: Good	-	Tree of limited	d amenity value	>40 vrs
						S	3.5	1				B: Good		Thee of himled	a amenicy value.	,
						W	3.5	1								
T42																
English Elm		10	3	40)2 (Eq)	N	5	3	SM	A: 73	Good	C: Good				B.1
Ulmus procera						Е	4	4		R: 4.82		S: Ivy	-	Thick Iwy is sr	preading throughout tree's stem into crown	20 to 40
						S	5	3				B: Good		1111CK 1Vy 15 5		yrs
						W	6	2								
T43																
Goat Willow		3	2	11	13 (Eq)	N	3.5	0.5	Y	A: 5.8	Good	C: Good				C.1
Salix caprea						Е	3	0.5		R: 1.35		S: Good	-	Tree of limiter	d amenity value	>40 yrs
						S	3	0.5				B: Good				,
						W	3	0.5								
T44																
Common Oak		8.5	1	45	50	Ν	4.5	4	SM	A: 91.6	Fair	C: Fair				B.2
Quercus robur						Е	3	4		R: 5.39		S: Ivy	-	Thick Ivy is sr	preading throughout tree's stem into crown.	20 to 40
						S	4.5	3				B: Good		Slight apical d	lie back observed in tree's crown.	yrs
						W	4.5	4								
Age Classifications:	N	Newly plante	ed	EM	Early	Mature		C	ondi	tion: (Crown		Stem	ms: Ø	Diameter	
	Y SM	r oung Semi-mature	е	M OM	Over I	e Mature				E	B Basal are	а		(Eq)	Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 d	ennition
Dese 0										Troo	Mindor					25 1010 2010





		T re	ee Categories	
	Trees are of the Brit demolitior	categorised in ish Standard B n and constructi	accordance with the cascade ch S 5837:2012 'Trees in relation to ion - Recommendations'	art in Table 1 design,
	Category	'U' - Trees in su retained as	uch condition that they cannot realiving trees in context of the curre	alistically be ent land use
	Category	'A' - Trees of hi	gh quality with an estimated rem	aining life
	Category	expectancy 'B' - Trees of m	of at least 40 years. oderate quality with an estimated	d remaining
	Category	life expectar	ncy of at least 20 years.	aining life
	outogory	expectancy stem diame	of at least 10 years, or young tre ter below 150mm.	es with a
	$\left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right)$	Roo	t Protection Area	
	In order to retained to around ea in m ² whic	o avoid damage rees, the Root F ach of the categ ch should be le	to the roots or rooting environm Protection Areas (RPAs) should l lory A, B and C trees. This is a m ft undisturbed around each retain	ent of be plotted hinimum area hed tree.
	The RPA in relation	is calculated us to design, dem	sing the British Standard BS 583 polition and construction - Recom	7:2012 'Trees imendations.
	The calcu circle with root growt reflect the	lated RPA is ca a radius of 15r th the root prote likely distributio	apped to 707m ² , which is the equ n. Where there appears to be re ection area is reshaped to more a on of the roots.	ivalent to a strictions to accurately
		Arbo	ricultural Impacts	
	Impacts			Nos. of trees
	Trees to be r	removed		12
	Groups to be	eremoved		3 partially
	Trees that w	ill require pruning		4
	Groups that	will require pruning		1
		Tree	e Work Schedule	
	No.	Species	Works	Category
		Goat willow	Fell to ground level; remove stump	
	T3	Common alder	Fell to ground level: remove stump	B1
	T4	Common alder	Fell to ground level; remove stump	C1
	T5	Common oak	Fell to ground level; remove stump	C1
	T16	Common ash	Prune to give 2m clearance from propos	sal B1
	T21	Sycamore	Fell to ground level; remove stump	B1
	T22	Sycamore	Fell to ground level; remove stump	B1
	T23	Common alder	Fell to ground level; remove stump	B1
	T24	Common alder	Fell to ground level; remove stump	B1
\backslash	T31	Sycamore	Prune to give 2m clearance from propos	sal B1
	T32	Sycamore	Prune to give 2m clearance from propos	sal B1
	Т33	Sycamore	Prune to give 2m clearance from propos	sal B1
	Т37	Common alder	Fell to ground level; remove stump	C1
	T41	Goat willow	Fell to ground level; remove stump	C1
	T43	Goat willow	Fell to ground level; remove stump	C1
\	H2	Various	Partial removal	C2
	G2	Various	Partial removal	B123
	G3	Various	Partial removal	B2
	G4	Various	Crown raise/reduce over rear gardens of	plots B ₂
	All tree we BS 3998:2 All arising	ork is to be und 2010 Tree work 's are to be rem	ertaken in accordance with Britis - Recommendations. hoved and the site is to be left as	h Standard
	Care is to	be taken of the	e ground around retained trees to	make sure
	inat it doe	s not become d	compacted as a result of tree sur	gery